Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 5070 Filed 03/08/12 Page 1 of 4

1	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SB# 136045) KEVIN C. MCCANN (SB# 120874)				
2	SEAN D. UNGER (SB# 231694) PAUL HASTINGS LLP				
3	55 Second Street Twenty-Fourth Floor				
4	San Francisco, CA 94105-3441 Telephone: (415) 856-7000				
5	Facsimile: (415) 856-7100				
6	LEE F. BERGER (SB# 222756)				
7	PAUL HASTINGS LLP 875 15th Street, N.W.				
8	Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 551-1700 Facsimile: (202) 551-1705				
9	Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.				
11					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
15					
16	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-00711 SI			
17	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. M 07-md-01827 SI			
18		MDL No. 1827			
19		STIPULATION REGARDING EFFECT OF			
20	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 11-cv-00711 SI:	COURT'S PRIOR RULINGS ON NEW YORK'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND EXTENSION OF TIME			
21	STATE OF NEW YORK,	FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO NEW YORK'S SECOND AMENDED			
22	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
23	V.	Judge: Honorable Susan Y. Illston			
24	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	Juage. Honorable Susui 1. Histori			
25	Defendants.				
26					
27					
28					
	Case No. 11-cv-00711 SI Case No. M 07-md-1827 SI	STIPULATION OF DISMISSED CLAIMS AND TIME TO ANSWER			

1	Plaintiff State of New York and Defendants party to the above-captioned action				
2	(collectively, "Parties") hereby stipulate as follows:				
3	STIPULATION				
4	WHEREAS the Court previously entered its Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part				
5	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on August 9, 2011 (Master Docket No. 3242) ("MTD Order"),				
6	which Motion was directed to New York's First Amended Complaint;				
7	WHEREAS the Court has also previously entered its Order Granting New York's Motion				
8	for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration and Granting in Part Reconsideration on				
9	November 15, 2011 (Master Docket No. 4144) ("Reconsideration Order");				
10	WHEREAS New York reserves its rights, including its right of appeal, with respect to				
11	those claims or branches of claims dismissed by the MTD Order;				
12	WHEREAS New York subsequently filed its Second Amended Complaint (Master				
13	Docket No. 4763) ("the Second Amended Complaint") on February 3, 2012;				
14	WHEREAS, the sole amendment which New York made to its complaint concerned its				
15	basis for asserting claims on behalf of certain non-State public entities pursuant to Section 342-l				
16	of the New York General Business Law, and in all other respects New York's complaint is				
17	unaltered;				
18	WHEREAS, the parties wish to clarify that the Court's prior rulings in its MTD Order				
19	apply to New York's Second Amended Complaint, and to extend defendants' time to file answe				
20	to it;				
21	WHEREAS the Parties have conferred regarding the Second Amended Complaint;				
22	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their undersigned respective counsel, stipulate				
23	and request that the Court order as follows:				
24	1. That the defendants have until March 16, 2012 to file their answers to the Second				
25	Amended Complaint;				
26	2. That the Court's prior orders, Master Docket No. 3242 and Master Docket No. 4144,				
27	apply to the Second Amended Complaint, and specifically,				
28					

Case No. 11-cv-00711 SI Case No. M 07-md-1827 SI

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 5070 Filed 03/08/12 Page 3 of 4

1	(a) That, by its MTD Order, the Court dismissed New York's Donnelly Act				
2	parens patriae damages claims, and adhered to that holding in the Reconsideration Order;				
3	(b) That New York, in its opposition to Defendants' May 5, 2011 Motion to				
4	Dismiss, withdrew its pre-December 23, 1998 Donnelly Act indirect purchaser claims;				
5	(c) That, by its MTD Order, the Court dismissed those New York assigned claims				
6	concerning master purchase agreements without a New York choice-of-law provision.				
7	DATED: March 7, 2012	HOLLY A. HOUSE KEVIN C. McCANN			
8		LEE F. BERGER PAUL HASTINGS LLP			
9		THOE THISTINGS EEF			
10		By: /s/ Lee F. Berger			
11		Lee F. Berger Attorneys for Defendants			
12		LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.			
13		With the approval of counsel for AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America; Toshiba			
14		Corporation; Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd.; Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.; Toshiba America			
15		Information Systems, Inc.			
16	DATED: March 7, 2012	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN			
17		Attorney General of the State of New York			
18		By:/s/ Amy McFarlane			
19		Amy McFarlane Richard L. Schwartz*			
20		Amy McFarlane*			
21		Assistant Attorneys General Antitrust Bureau			
22		120 Broadway, 26th Floor New York, New York 10271			
23		(212) 416-8282 (voice)			
24		(212) 416-6195 (fax) Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov			
25		Counsel for Plaintiff State of New York			
26		*Automatic Pro Hac Vice Admission Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving Civil			
27		L.R. 11-3)			
28	Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories thereto has been obtained.				

Case No. 11-cv-00711 SI Case No. M 07-md-1827 SI

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 5070 Filed 03/08/12 Page 4 of 4

1				[PROPOSED] ORDI	ER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.			A	
3	DATED:	2/0	, 2012	Suran	Mison
4	DATED		, 2012	Hon. Susan Illst United States Di	on
5				Officed States Di	istrict Judge
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Case No. 11-c Case No. M 07	v-00711 SI 7-md-1827 S	I	-3-	STIPULATION OF DISMISSED CLAIMS AND TIME TO ANSWER